EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)	
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
)	No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
ALL ACTIONS.)	
)	

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF SERGEY BRIN

MARCH 19, 2013

Reported by: Rosalie A. Kramm, CSR No. 5469, CRR

13:03:12 1	about the agreements between Apple and Google on this
13:03:14 2	subject than you did.
13:03:16 3	MR. RUBIN: Objection to form.
13:03:17 4	THE WITNESS: But once again, you are
13:03:18 5	presupposing there was an agreement. In your question
13:03:20 6	you are presupposing the answer you want to hear.
13:03:23 7	BY MR. HEIMANN:
13:03:23 8	Q. Well, the question that I originally posed to
13:03:25 9	you
13:03:25 10	A. Yeah.
13:03:25 11	Q is, is it your view that he knew more about
13:03:28 12	the existence or non-existence of agreements relating to
13:03:31 13	recruiting than you did.
13:03:33 14	A. I'm telling you
13:03:33 15	MR. RUBIN: Just let me objection to form.
13:03:35 16	THE WITNESS: I'm telling you this has nothing
13:03:36 17	to do with agreements, nonagreements, things like that.
13:03:40 18	It's about Steve's ego and emotions.
13:03:44 19	BY MR. HEIMANN:
13:03:44 20	Q. What is about Steve's ego and emotions?
13:03:47 21	A. Alan just didn't want to agitate and piss off
13:03:50 22	Steve needlessly.
13:03:52 23	Q. And how does that explain Mr or
13:03:57 24	's understanding that a gentleman agreement
13:04:00 25	existed between Google and Apple regarding recruiting

13:04:03 1	employees or hiring employees from each other?
13:04:06 2	A. I'm sure that Alan expressed some of the
13:04:09 3	sensitivity and to about Google hiring
13:04:17 4	people close to Steve and people Steve might care about,
13:04:20 5	and I don't know. Who knows how Alan conveyed that and
13:04:25 6	what took away from that? I wouldn't want to
13:04:31 7	speculate.
13:04:31 8	Q. All right. But in any event, you didn't take
13:04:34 9	any steps to correct any misimpressions when you received
13:04:36 10	this email.
13:04:38 11	MR. RUBIN: Objection. Form.
13:04:41 12	THE WITNESS: No. I mean I wouldn't I don't
13:04:43 13	think I ever even interacted directly with in
13:04:47 14	any way.
13:04:47 15	BY MR. HEIMANN:
13:04:48 16	Q. Okay. Did did you have an understanding of
13:04:52 17	Mr. Jobs' view about how Silicon Valley companies should
13:04:58 18	interact with each other with respect to hiring away
13:05:02 19	other companies' employees?
13:05:04 20	MR. RUBIN: Objection. Form.
13:05:06 21	THE WITNESS: I think Mr. Jobs' view was that
13:05:09 22	people shouldn't piss him off. And I think that things
13:05:14 23	that pissed him off were would be hiring, you know
13:05:19 24	whatever. Certain people that he deemed important at the
13:05:21 25	time or close to him or knew or, you know, who knows what

13:05:27 1	would trip his emotions exactly, but I think this
13:05:31 2	situation of specifically is because he was
13:05:34 3	close to in some way. I don't personally know
13:05:37 4	their history, but I believe they were friends or
13:05:39 5	something.
13:05:39 6	BY MR. HEIMANN:
13:05:40 7	Q. Well, didn't you have an understanding that
13:05:41 8	Mr. Jobs' view was that no one in Silicon Valley should
13:05:44 9	be trying to hire away employees of Apple from Apple?
13:05:49 10	A. I'm sure that he wished that that was the case.
13:05:52 11	I don't think he was even that unreasonable. But, yeah,
13:05:57 12	I mean I think there were certain times and certain
13:06:00 13	employees and solicitations at Apple.
13:06:12 14	Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 861. This is an
13:06:26 15	email from June of 2006 that, as near as I can tell, is
13:06:29 16	internal to Apple. I want to focus your attention on the
13:06:33 17	last paragraph of the email from Danielle Lambert or
13:06:46 18	"Lambert" to Steve Jobs.
13:07:15 19	A. Okay. I see it.
13:07:16 20	Q. In this email the author wrote to Mr. Jobs, "We

Q. In this email the author wrote to Mr. Jobs, "We 13:07:19 21 have been diving into the search for someone to lead an ad sales team and surfacing some good folks. We are researching Google to see who is there, and learn what we 13:07:30 24 can about their backgrounds, but are not directly calling them directly, given the agreement you and Sergey struck